

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA **GREENVILLE DIVISION**

ANDREA D. INABINET,

Plaintiff,

\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:20-cv-03845-MGL-KFM

KILOLO KIJAKAZI, Acting Commissioner of the Social Security Administration,

Defendant.

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND REVERSING AND REMANDING THE CASE FOR FURTHER ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS

This is a Social Security appeal in which Plaintiff Andrea D. Inabinet (Inabinet) seeks judicial review of the final decision of Defendant Kilolo Kijakazi (Kijakazi) denying her claims for disability insurance and supplemental security income benefits. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting Kijakazi's decision be reversed and remanded for further administrative proceedings as discussed in the Report. The Magistrate Judge filed the Report in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo 6:20-cv-03845-MGL Date Filed 10/19/21 Entry Number 23 Page 2 of 2

determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may

accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or

recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on July 23, 2021, and Kijakazi filed a reply on August

6, 2021, and Inabinet filed a reply on August 11, 2021, stating they would not be filing any

objections to the Report. "[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not

conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face

of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.,

416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th

Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set

forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment

of the Court Kijakazi's decision is REVERSED and this case is REMANDED for further

administrative proceedings as discussed in the Report.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 19th day of October, 2021, in Columbia, South Carolina.

/s/ Mary Geiger Lewis

MARY GEIGER LEWIS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2